UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,976	07/13/2006	Hiroshi Yamamoto	EL/2-22873/CGJ 133/PCT 3607	
<sup>324</sup> JoAnn Villamiz	7590 05/09/200 <b>2ar</b>	EXAMINER		
_	on/Patent Department	BIANCHI, KRISTIN A		
P.O. Box 2005	540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			4131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,976	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KRISTIN BIANCHI	4131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-21 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/05/2007 and 01/06/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-13 and 15-21 are currently pending in the instant application.

### Information Disclosure Statements

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on January 6, 2006 and March 5, 2007 were in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98. The information disclosure statements were considered and signed copies of the 1449 forms are enclosed herewith.

## **Priority**

This application claims benefit of EPO Patent Application No. 03100972.3 filed on April 10, 2003.

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I and the compound G-13 (of Example 4 on page 58 of the instant application) in the reply filed on December 3, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 4131

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langhals *et al.* (US Patent No. 5354869, Oct. 11, 1994) in view of JP-A2 9003448 and US Patent No. 5,571,359.

<u>Determination of the scope and contents of prior art.</u>

Langhals *et al.* discloses the following diketopyrrolopyrrole compound (in Example 10).

JP-A2 9003448 teaches compounds for an electroluminescent element of the formula (on the first page of patent):

Art Unit: 4131

$$R_2$$
 $N$ 
 $R_3$ 
 $N$ 
 $R_4$ 

wherein R<sup>1</sup> to R<sup>4</sup> can be H, a (substituted) aliphatic group, a (substituted) aliphatic ring, a (substituted) homocyclic aromatic ring, a (substituted) heterocyclic ring; X<sup>1</sup> to X<sup>2</sup> can be O, S or dicyanomethylene.

US Patent No. 5,571,359 teaches pyrrolopyrrol pigments of the formula given on column 4 wherein  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are each independently alkyl, arylalkyl, aryl, substituted or unsubstituted isocyclic or heterocyclic aromatic radicals;  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  are each independently H, substituted or unsubstituted alkyl, alkoxycarbonyl, aroyl, phenyl, benzoyl, benzyl, arylalkyl, aryl, alkanoyl,  $C_{5-6}$  cycloalkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, carbamoyl, alkylcarbamoyl, arylcarbamoyl, or alkoxycarbonyl; X is O or S.

## Ascertaining the differences between prior art and the instant claims.

The diketopyrrolopyrrole compound disclosed in Langhals *et al.* is different from the diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds of the formula I in the instant claims because the heterocyclic rings in Langhals *et al.* are not substituted.

The diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds of formula 1 in the instant claims are anticipated by the compounds disclosed in JP-A2 9003448 and US Patent No. 5,571,359 when, for example,  $A^1$  to  $A^2$  are substituted heterocyclic rings and  $R^1$  to  $R^2$  are  $C_1$ - $C_8$  alkyls. Although JP-A2 9003448 and US Patent No. 5,571,359 teach compounds with these formulas (i.e. wherein  $A^1$  to  $A^2$  are substituted heterocyclic rings and  $R^1$  to  $R^2$  are  $C_1$ - $C_8$  alkyls in formula I of the instant claims), they do not disclose embodiments of these specific compounds.

# Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art – Prima Facie Case of Obviousness.

The only difference between the fluorescent diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds in the instant claims and the diketopyrrolopyrrole compound disclosed in Langhals *et al.* is the substitution of the heterocyclic rings in the instant claims. JP-A2 9003448 and US Patent No. 5,571,359 teach compounds which are used for fluorescent purposes and include substitution on the heterocyclic rings, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to substitute the heterocyclic rings of the diketopyrrolopyrrole compound disclosed in Langhals *et al.* to arrive at the fluorescent compounds disclosed in the instant claims.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to make the modification required to arrive at the instant claims with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining compounds with the same fluorescent activity. The motivation to make compounds of the instant claims would be to make additional compounds for fluorescent purposes.

Thus, the instant claims are *prima facie* obvious over the teachings of the prior art.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1,087,005 in view of Langhals *et al*.

### Determination of the scope and contents of prior art.

EP 1,087,005 discloses fluorescent diketopyrrolopyrroles such as the following compound (in paragraph [0013] on page 5).

Langhals *et al.* discloses the following diketopyrrolopyrrole compound (in Example 10).

<u>Ascertaining the differences between prior art and the instant claims.</u>
Claims 1, 3, 4 and 19 of the instant application disclose compounds of the following formula:

Art Unit: 4131

wherein R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> comprise C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>-alkyl groups.

The compound disclosed in the instant claims has a pyridine in its structure whereas the compound disclosed in EP 1,087,005 has all phenyl groups.

## Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art – Prima Facie Case of Obviousness.

The fluorescent diketopyrrolopyrrole compound disclosed in the instant claims wherein  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  are  $C_1$ - $C_{12}$ -alkyl groups is only different from the fluorescent diketopyrrolopyrrole compound disclosed in EP 1,087,005 by having a pyridine in its structure instead of all phenyl groups. Many references, such as Langhals *et al.*, disclose compounds which have pyridines in their structure and they are used for fluorescent purposes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrive at the compound in the instant claims by substituting the phenyl attached to the fused bicyclic core in the diketopyrrolopyrrole compound disclosed in EP 1,087,005 for a pyridine since all of these references use these compounds for fluorescent purposes.

One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make the modifications required to arrive at the instant invention with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining compounds with the same fluorescent activity. The motivation to make the claimed compounds would be to make additional compounds for fluorescent purposes.

Thus, the instant claims are *prima facie* obvious over the teachings of the prior art.

Claims 1, 5-10, 13, 15-17 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over EP 1,087,006 in view of Oxtoby, David W. and Nachtrieb, Norman

Art Unit: 4131

H., Principles of Modern Chemistry, 2nd Edition, Saunders College Publishing, 1990, page 483.

## Determination of the scope and contents of prior art.

EP 1,087,006 teaches an electroluminescent device (EL) comprising an anode, a hole transport layer, a light-emitting layer, an optional electron transport layer, a cathode and a light-emitting substance (paragraph [0001]). The light-emitting substance is a diketopyrrolopyrrole compound of formula I or III wherein R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> can be independently selected as C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>25</sub> alkyl, for example, and Ar<sub>1</sub> and Ar<sub>2</sub> can be independently selected as aryl radicals (page 1). In paragraph [0003], EP 1,087,006 teaches that it is commonly known in the art to employ a two component (Host-Guest) composition as the light-emitting material in an EL device and that it is important that the fluorescent spectrum of the host overlaps the absorption spectrum of the guest material (paragraph [0011]). In paragraph [0017], EP 1,087,006 teaches that the object of the invention was to provide EL devices emitting vellow, orange or red light. EP 1.087.006 teaches, in paragraph [0038], a composition of light emitting material and a polymer binder (high molecular weight organic material) formed by mixing the light emitting material with a polymer binder. The ratio of binder to light emitting material is in the range of 10:1 to 1:50.

Oxtoby, David W. and Nachtrieb, Norman H., *Principles of Modern Chemistry*, 2nd Edition, Saunders College Publishing, 1990 teaches that the spectrum for red, orange and yellow light is about 630-700 nm, 590-630 nm and 560-590 nm, respectively.

## Ascertaining the differences between prior art and the instant claims.

The instant claims disclose compounds and compositions of diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds of formula I and formula II wherein A¹ and A² are substituted heteroaryl groups and aryl groups, respectively. EP 1,087,006 teaches compositions of diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds of formula I wherein A¹ and A² are aryl groups. EP 1,087,006 does not, however, give specific embodiments of compounds that would anticipate the compounds of formulas I and II in the instant claims.

EP 1,087,006 also teaches that the object of the invention was to provide EL devices emitting yellow, orange or red light, but does not give specific emission peak wavelength ranges for the diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds.

The instant claims disclose a composition comprising a colored high molecular weight organic material comprising both 99.99 to 50% by weight of a high molecular weight organic material and 0.01 to 50% weight of either a fluorescent diketopyrrolopyrrole according to claim 1 or 0.01 to 50% weight of a composition

Application/Control Number: 10/551,976

Art Unit: 4131

according to claim 5. These ranges fall within the range of the ratio of binder to light emitting material disclosed in EP 1,087,006, however, the diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds differ as mentioned above.

Page 8

# Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art – Prima Facie Case of Obviousness.

EP 1,087,006 does not give specific embodiments of compounds that would anticipate the compounds of formula I and II in the instant claims. EP 1,087,006 does disclose, though, that the A¹ and A² groups of formula I consist of aryl groups. The A¹ and A² groups in the instant claims consist of heteroaryl and aryl groups, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the specific heteroaryl and aryl groups given in the instant claims under the general "aryl" group for formula I in EP 1,087,006.

One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make the modifications required to arrive at the instant invention with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining compounds and compositions with the same fluorescent activity. The motivation to make the claimed compounds and compositions would be to make additional compounds and compositions for fluorescent purposes (i.e. to be included in electroluminescent devices).

EP 1,087,006 teaches that the object of the invention was to provide EL devices emitting yellow, orange or red light, but does not give specific emission peak wavelength ranges for the diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds. Oxtoby, David W. and Nachtrieb, Norman H., *Principles of Modern Chemistry, 2nd Edition, Saunders College Publishing, 1990* teaches that the spectrum for red, orange and yellow light is about 630-700 nm, 590-630 nm and 560-590 nm, respectively. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make a composition wherein the host chromophore is a diketopyrrolopyrrole compound which produces a photoluminescence emission peak at 500-720 nm or 520 to 630 nm.

Thus, the instant claims are *prima facie* obvious over the teachings of the prior art.

Claims 1, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE4435211 in view of US Patent No. 4,415,685.

### Determination of the scope and contents of prior art.

DE4435211 discloses compounds that are suitable as electrochromic materials such as the following compound (Table 1 on page 6):

Art Unit: 4131

wherein  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are non-substituted pyridine groups and  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are methyl groups.

US 4,415,685 discloses 1,4-diketo-pyrrolo[3,4-c]-pyrroles of formula (I) (column 1) wherein  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are isocyclic or heterocyclic aromatic radicals and when  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are aromatic radicals, such as phenyl, diphenyl or naphthyl radicals, these can contain the "usual non-water-solubilizing substituents, such as halogen atoms, for example chlorine, bromine or fluorine." US 4,415,685 teaches that when the compounds of formula (I) are dissolved in the applied polymers, they are likewise distinguished by a pure shade, high coloring strength and good fastness to light, and in addition by high fluorescence. Also, as seen in Table 1 on column 15, when a halogen is adding to the phenyl group as opposed to a methyl group, there is a shift in the shade of color.

## Ascertaining the differences between prior art and the instant claims.

The instant claims disclose diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds of formula III wherein A<sup>7</sup> and A<sup>8</sup> can be pyridine groups which have a chlorine or bromine atom as a substituent.

US 4,415,685 discloses 1,4-diketo-pyrrolo[3,4-c]-pyrroles of formula (I) which can have aromatic radicals, such as phenyl, which are substituted with a halogen atom. US 4,415,685 does not disclose 1,4-diketo-pyrrolo[3,4-c]-pyrroles which have pyridine groups with halogen atoms as substituents.

DE4435211 discloses diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds with pyridine groups, but they are not substituted with halogen atoms.

# Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art – Prima Facie Case of Obviousness

DE4435211 discloses diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds with pyridine groups, but they are not substituted with halogen atoms. Since there are references, such as US 4,415,685, which disclose diketopyrrolopyrrole compounds with halogen atoms as substituents on the isocyclic groups, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add halogens to the compounds disclosed in DE4435211 to arrive at the instantly claimed invention.

US 4,415,685 teaches that when a halogen is added to a phenyl group as opposed to a methyl group, there is a shift in the shade of color. One of ordinary

Art Unit: 4131

skill would be motivated, therefore, to make the modification required to arrive at the instantly claimed invention with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining a compound with the same fluorescent activity. The motivation to make the claimed compound would be to make additional compounds for fluorescent purposes (i.e. in pigments) which would result in different shades of color.

Thus, the instant claims are *prima facie* obvious over the teachings of the prior art.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KRISTIN BIANCHI whose telephone number is (571)270-5232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-5, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Janet Andres or Cecilia Tsang can be reached at 571-272-0867 and 571-272-0562, respectively. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kristin Bianchi Examiner Art Unit 4131

/Cecilia Tsang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4131

Art Unit: 4131